Steroidal Saponins from Two Species of Dracaena

Guy Beddos Kougan,^{†,‡} Tomofumi Miyamoto,[§] Chiaki Tanaka,[§] Thomas Paululat,^{\perp} Jean-François Mirjolet,^{\bigtriangledown} Olivier Duchamp,^{\heartsuit} Beibam Lucas Sondengam,[‡] and Marie-Aleth Lacaille-Dubois^{*,†}

Laboratoire de Pharmacognosie, Unité de Molécules d'Intérêt Biologique, UMIB UPRES-EA 3660, Faculté de Pharmacie, Université de Bourgogne, 7, Boulevard Jeanne d'Arc, BP 87900, 21079 Dijon Cedex, France, Laboratoire de Chimie des Substances Naturelles, Département de Chimie Organique, Faculté des Sciences, Université de Yaoundé I, BP 812 Yaoundé, Cameroon, Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 812-8582, Japan, Universität Siegen, FB 8, OC-II (AK Ihmels), Adolf-Reichwein-Strasse 2, D-57068 Siegen, Germany, and Oncodesign, 20 Rue Jean Mazen, BP 27627, 21076 Dijon Cedex, France

Received March 9, 2010

Four new steroidal saponins (1-4) were isolated from the stem and bark of two species of *Dracaena*: deistelianosides A and B (1 and 2) from *D. deisteliana* and arboreasaponins A and B (3 and 4) from *D. arborea*. Six known saponins and one known sapogenin were also isolated. The structures of 1-4 were established as diosgenin 3-O-[3-O-sulfate- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-($1\rightarrow4$)]- β -D-glucopyranoside (1), $1-O-\beta$ -D-xylopyranosyl-($1\rightarrow2$)-[α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-($1\rightarrow3$)]- β -D-flucopyranosyl(23S,24S)-spirosta-5,25(27)-diene- $1\beta,3\beta,23\alpha,24\alpha$ -tetrol $24-O-\alpha$ -L-raabinopyranoside (2), pennogenin- $3-O-\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl-($1\rightarrow3$)]- β -D-glucopyranosyl-($1\rightarrow3$)]- β -D-glucopyranoside (3), and 24α -hydroxypennogenin $3-O-\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl-($1\rightarrow2$)-[α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-($1\rightarrow3$)]- β -D-glucopyranoside (4) using extensive 1D and 2D NMR spectroscopic analyses and mass spectrometry. Cytotoxic activity of several of these compounds was evaluated against the HT-29 and HCT 116 human colon cancer cell lines.

The genus Dracaena (Dracaenaceae) includes more than 480 species distributed in tropical and subtropical dry climate regions throughout the world.¹ Dracaena deisteliana Engl. and D. arborea (Willd.) Link are used in African folk medicine for the treatment of heart and kidney problems, measles, eye injury, paralysis, epilepsy, convulsions, spasms, venereal diseases, diarrhea, dizziness, and infertility in women, and for social purposes.^{1,2} Previous phytochemical investigations on the genus Dracaena revealed the presence of steroidal saponins³⁻⁵ and flavonoids.^{6,7} A survey of the literature showed that no significant chemical and biological work had been done on these two species. In a continuation of our studies of Cameroonian plants used in folk medicine⁸ we herein describe the isolation and structure elucidation of two new steroidal saponins and three known saponins from D. deisteliana stems and two new saponins and four known compounds from D. arborea bark. The cytotoxicity of several of these compounds was also evaluated against the HT-29 and HCT 116 human colon cancer cell lines.

Results and Discussion

A methanol extract of dried stems of *D. deisteliana* was subjected to multiple chromatographic steps (VLC and MPLC, see Experimental Section), affording two new steroidal saponins, which were named deistelianosides A (1) and B (2), and three known saponins, identified as neoruscogenin 1-*O*- α -L-arabinopyranoside,⁹ neoruscogenin 1-*O*- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 2)-[β -D-xylopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 3)]- α -L-arabinopyranoside, and neoruscogenin 1-*O*- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 2)- α -L-arabinopyranoside.¹⁰ Using the same method of extraction and purification, two new steroidal saponins, which were named arboreasaponins A (3) and B (4), three known steroidal saponins, pennogenin-3-*O*- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 3)- β -D-glucopyranoside (manioside A),³ and pennogenin 3-*O*- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 2)-[α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 3)]- β -D-glucopyranoside (spiroconazole A),⁴ and one known sapogenin, (25*R*)-spirost-5-ene- 1β ,3 β ,14 α ,17 α -tetrol (namogenin A),¹² were isolated from bark of *D. arborea*. Their structures were elucidated using chemical and spectroscopic methods including 1D and 2D NMR experiments (COSY, TOCSY, NOESY, HSQC, and HMBC), HRESIMS, and FABMS.

Compounds 1–4 were isolated as white, amorphous powders. The sugars obtained by aqueous acid hydrolysis of each compound were identified as D-glucose and L-rhamnose (in the case of 1, 3, and 4) and D-fucose, D-xylose, L-arabinose, and L-rhamnose (in the case of 2) by TLC and GC (see Experimental Section). In the ¹H NMR spectra of 1–4, the relatively large ${}^{3}J_{H-1,H-2}$ values of the anomeric protons of the glucose, xylose, and arabinose moieties (between 7.0 and 8.3 Hz) indicated β -anomeric orientation for glucose, xylose, fucose, and α -anomeric orientation for arabinose. The broad singlet of the anomeric proton of rhamnose indicated α -orientation.

Deistelianoside A (1) had the molecular formula $C_{39}H_{62}O_{15}S$ as determined by HRESIMS (positive-ion mode), showing a pseudomolecular ion peak at m/z 825.9560 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for 825.9553). Its FABMS (negative-ion mode) displayed a quasimolecular ion peak at m/z 801 [M – H]⁻, indicating a molecular weight of 802. The presence of a sulfate group was ascertained by the presence of peaks at m/z 97 [OSO₃H]⁻ and m/z 80 [SO₃]⁻. The aglycone of 1 was identified as diosgenin by comparison of ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopic data obtained from the 2D NMR (COSY, TOCSY, NOESY, HSQC, and HMBC) spectra of 1 with those reported in the literature.¹³ The presence of two sugar residues was confirmed from the observation of two anomeric proton signals $[\delta 4.83 \text{ (d, } J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}), 5.74 \text{ (br s)}], giving HSQC correlations}$ with two anomeric ¹³C NMR signals at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 101.8 and 102.1, respectively. Complete assignments of each sugar were achieved by extensive 1D and 2D NMR analyses. Evaluation of chemical shifts and spin-spin couplings allowed the identification of one β -glucopyranosyl (Glc) and one α -rhamnopyranosyl (Rha) unit in 1. The sequence of the oligosaccharidic chain of 1 was achieved by HMBC and NOESY experiments. The HMBC correlations between the anomeric ¹H NMR signal at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.74 (br s, Rha H-1) and $\delta_{\rm C}$ 77.8 (Glc C-4) and between $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.83 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, Glc H-1) and $\delta_{\rm C}$ 78.1 (Agly C-3) proved the sequence of the sugar chain at C-3 to be α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)- β -D-glucopyranosyl.

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +33-3-80393229. Fax: +33-3-80393300. E-mail: marie-aleth.lacaille-dubois@u-bourgogne.fr.

[†] Université de Bourgogne.

[‡] Université de Yaoundé I.

[§] Kyushu University.

[⊥] Universität Siegen.

[▽] Oncodesign.



This sequence was confirmed by observation of NOESY crosspeaks between $\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.74 (Rha H-1) and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.27 (Glc H-4) and between $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.83 (Glc H-1) and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.83 (Agly H-3). The deshielded values observed in the HSQC spectrum for the Rha C-3/Rha H-3 resonance at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 79.2/ $\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.54 suggested acylation at C-3, but the substituent did not contain carbon atoms. Furthermore, a downfield shift of the Rha C-3 resonance ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 79.2) and the upfield shifts of Rha C-2 and Rha C-4 at respectively $\delta_{\rm C}$ 70.6 and 71.8 (α -effect) can be explained if the OH group at C-3 of Rha in 1 is acylated. This substituent was a SO₃H group, as confirmed by FABMS, which showed fragment ions at m/z 80 and 97, respectively. The COSY experiment unequivocally confirmed these conclusions, showing cross-peaks between Rha H-1 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.74) and Rha H-2 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.07), between Rha H-2 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.07) and Rha H-3 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.54), and finally between Rha H-3 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.54) and Rha H-4 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.48), respectively. Hence, the structure of deistelianoside A (1) was concluded to be diosgenin 3-O-[3-O-sulfate]- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)- β -D-glucopyranoside.

The molecular formula of deistelianoside B (**2**) was determined as $C_{49}H_{76}O_{22}$ by HRESIMS, showing a pseudomolecular ion peak at m/z 1039.4721 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for 1039.4726). Its FABMS (negative-ion mode) displayed a quasimolecular ion peak at m/z1015 [M - H]⁻, indicating a molecular weight of 1016. Further fragment ion peaks were observed at m/z 883, 737, 591, and 459 corresponding to the loss of two pentosyl and two deoxyhexosyl moieties. Inspection of the aglycone part of the ¹H NMR spectrum of **2** led to the assignment of two tertiary methyl groups [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.37 and 0.92 (each 3H, s)], a secondary methyl group [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.05 (3H, d, J = 6.9 Hz)], an exomethylene group [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.23 and 5.09 (each 1H, br s)], and one olefinic proton [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.55 (1H, br d, J = 5.2 Hz)]. These proton signals together with a distinctive quaternary carbon signal at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 111.7 showing long-range correlations with one of the methylene protons at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.99 (Agly H-26a) along with the proton at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.83 (Agly H-20) indicated that the aglycone of **2** was a spirosta-5,25(27)-diene type. On the other hand, HMBC correlations between the proton signal at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.97 (1H, d, J = 4.2 Hz) and the carbon signal at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 111.7 (C-22) and between the proton signal at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.78 (1H, d, J = 4.2 Hz) and the carbon signals at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 143.5 (C-25) and 113.8 (C-27), respectively, suggested the presence of adjacent OH groups at C-23 (δ_{H}/δ_C 3.97/70.0) and C-24 (δ_{H}/δ_C 4.78/ 82.2). This was confirmed by COSY correlations between the protons at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.97 (1H, d, J = 4.2 Hz, Agly H-23) and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.78 (1H, d, J = 4.2 Hz, Agly H-24). ROESY correlations between proton signals at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.97 (Agly H-23), $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.83 (Agly H-20), and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.05 (Agly H-21) and between $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.97 (Agly H-23) and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.78 (Agly H-24) and the small coupling constant between H-23 and H-24 (J = 4.2 Hz) allowed assignment of the 23S and 24S configurations.¹⁴ The aglycone of 2 was identified as (23S,24S)spirosta-5,25(27)-diene-1 β ,3 β ,23 α ,24 α -tetrol, by comparison of ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopic data obtained from 2D NMR spectra of **2** with those reported in the literature.^{10,14} The presence of four sugar residues was confirmed from observation of four anomeric proton signals [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.69 (d, J = 7.3 Hz), 4.95 (d, J = 7.3 Hz), 6.26 (br s), and 5.11 (d, J = 8.1 Hz)], giving HSQC correlations with four anomeric carbon signals at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 100.5, 106.4, 101.7, and 106.3, respectively. Complete assignments of each sugar unit, and their sequence, were carried out by extensive 1D and 2D NMR analyses. One β -fucopyranosyl (Fuc), one β -xylopyranosyl (Xyl), one α -rhamnopyranosyl (Rha), and one α -arabinopyranosyl (Ara) unit were identified in 2. The HMBC correlations between the anomeric ¹H NMR signal at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.26 (br s, Rha H-1) and $\delta_{\rm C}$ 84.4 (Fuc C-3), between $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.95 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, Xyl H-1) and $\delta_{\rm C}$ 78.1 (Fuc C-2), and finally between $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.69 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, Fuc H-1) and $\delta_{\rm C}$ 83.8 (Agly C-1) proved the sequence of the sugar chain at C-1 to be β -D-xylopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 2)-[α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 3)]- β -D-fucopyranosyl. This sequence was confirmed by ROESY cross-peaks between $\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.26 (Rha H-1) and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.07 (Fuc H-3), between $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.95 (Xyl H-1) and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.05 (Fuc H-2), and between $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.69 (Fuc H-1) and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.77 (Agly H-1). Another HMBC correlation between $\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.11 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, Ara H-1) and $\delta_{\rm C}$ 82.2 (Agly C-24) and a ROESY cross-peak between $\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.11 (Ara H-1) and 4.78 (1H, d, J = 4.2 Hz, Agly H-24) allowed the linkage of Ara at C-24. Thus, deistelianoside B (2) was determined to be 1-O- β -D-xylopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ - $[\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 3)$]- β -D-fucopyranosyl(23S, 24S)spirosta-5,25(27)-diene- 1β , 3β ,23 α ,24 α -tetrol 24-O- α -L-arabinopyranoside.

The molecular formula of arboreasaponin A (3) was $C_{47}H_{74}O_{18}$ (by HRESIMS). Its FABMS showed a quasimolecular ion peak at m/z 925 $[M - H]^{-}$, indicating a molecular weight of 926. Significant fragment ion peaks were observed at m/z 883, 737, and 429 corresponding to successive losses of one acetyl, two deoxyhexosyl, and one hexosyl moiety, respectively. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of **3** were characteristic of a steroidal saponin having (25R)-3 β ,17 α spirost-5-ene-3,17-diol (pennogenin)¹¹ as the aglycone. The ¹³C NMR signals of sugar moieties of 3 were identical to those of spiroconazole A, by comparison with literature data,⁴ except for the primary alcoholic function at C-6 of a Glc unit, which was presumed to be acylated since the proton signals resonated at lower field [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.62 (Glc H-6a) and 4.73 (Glc H-6b)]. This was confirmed by the deshielded value of the Glc C-6 signal at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 63.9 and by long-range correlations in the HMBC spectrum between H-6a and H-6b ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.62 and 4.73) and the carbonyl carbon signal of the acetyl

Table 1. ¹³C and ¹H NMR Spectroscopic Data for the Aglycone Moieties of 14^{a}

		1		2		3		4	
position	$\delta_{ m C}$	$\delta_{ m H}$	$\delta_{ m C}$	$\delta_{ m H}$	$\delta_{\rm C}$	$\delta_{ m H}$	$\delta_{ m C}$	$\delta_{ m H}$	
1	37.1	0.92 t (10.7)	83.8	3.77 dd (4.0, 12.1)	37.2	0.92	37.0	0.83	
		1.64				1.67		1.63	
2	29.8	1.65	37.4	2.33 q (11.8)	29.7	1.52	31.2	1.72 dd (4.0, 14.5)	
		2.03 br d (11.6)		2.70 m		2.04		2.07 t (14.5)	
3	78.1	3.83 m	68.3	3.84 m	78.2	3.77	77.8	3.81 t (7.5)	
4	38.4	2.41 br d (11.9)	43.8	2.54 dd (4.0, 12.1)	38.4	2.58 d (11.9)	38.1	2.56 d (11.9)	
		2.70 br d (13.3)		2.66 br d (11.7)		2.65 d (11.9)		2.64 d (11.9)	
5	140.6		139.6		140.4		140.3		
6	121.5	5.34 d (4.04)	124.6	5.55 d (5.2)	121.6	5.28 d (4.2)	121.5	5.28 d (4.2)	
7	31.8	1.82 br d (10.9)	31.8	1.46	31.8	1.42	31.9	1.47	
		1.99 m		1.74 m		1.86		1.86	
8	31.3	1.44 m	32.9	1.48	32.0	1.50	32.1	1.49	
9	49.9	0.82	50.3	1.51 d (2.0)	49.8	0.88	49.7	0.83	
10	36.7		42.8		36.7		36.6		
11	20.3	nd ^b	23.9	1.54 d (5.3)	20.6	1.98	20.4	nd	
		1.35		2.88 br d (12.6)		1.46		1.46	
12	39.5	1.04 d (4.0)	40.6	1.27 m	32.0	1.85	31.7	1.86	
		1.63		1.57		2.16 dd (3.9, 7.0)		2.16	
13	40.1		40.4		44.8		44.8		
14	56.3	1.02	56.7	1.09 m	52.6	1.97	52.8	1.96	
15	31.9	1.76	32.3	1.23 m	31.4	1.43	31.7	1.42 m	
		1.96 m		1.56		2.14 m		1.45	
16	80.9	4.46 t (7.5)	82.9	4.57	89.5	4.42	89.6	4.42 t (7.4)	
17	62.4	1.76 t (7.5)	61.3	1.70 d (7.3)	89.9		89.9		
18	19.1	0.84 s	15.0	1.37 s	16.8	0.88	16.7	0.85 s	
19	16.0	0.76 s	16.7	0.92 s	19.1	0.98 s	19.0	0.95 s	
20	41.7	1.90 t (6.7)	37.4	2.83 t (6.9)	44.5	2.21 d (7.6)	44.6	2.26 d (7.3)	
21	14.7	1.08 d (6.9)	14.6	1.05 d (6.9)	09.4	1.18 d (7.1)	09.2	1.16 d (7.4)	
22	109.6		111.7		109.7		112.1		
23	31.4	1.62 d (3.3)	70.0	3.97 d (4.2)	31.4	nd	41.2	1.98	
		1.64				nd		2.31 dd (12.4, 4.7)	
24	28.9	1.52 m	82.2	4.78 d (4.2)	28.4	1.52	70.0	3.98 dd (5.0, 5.0)	
		nd				nd			
25	29.8	1.25 m	143.5		30.0	1.50	39.0	1.78 m	
26	66.6	3.43 br d (10.7)	61.4	3.99	66.4	3.43	64.8	3.50 dd (11.1, 11.6)	
		3.53 d (8.5)		4.81 br d (11.7)		3.48		3.58 dd (4.5, 11.1)	
27	17.0	0.63 d (5.0)	113.8	5.09 br s	16.9	0.62 (d, 5.1)	13.0	0.98 d (6.4)	
				5.23 br s					

^{*a*} 600 MHz (¹H), 125 MHz (¹³C), pyridine- d_5 , δ (ppm), coupling constants (*J*) in Hz are given in parentheses, overlapped signals are reported without designated multiplicity. ^{*b*} Not determined.

group at δ_C 170.9. Accordingly, the structure of **3** was determined to be pennogenin 3-*O*- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 2)-[α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 3)]-[6-*O*-acetyl]- β -D-glucopyranoside.

The molecular formula of arboreasaponin B (4) was determined to be C₄₅H₇₂O₁₈ by HRESIMS. Its FABMS showed a quasimolecular ion peak at m/z 899 [M – H]⁻, indicating a molecular weight of 900, 16 mass units higher than that of **3**. Significant fragment ion peaks were observed at m/z 753 and 445, corresponding to the successive loss of two deoxyhexosyl and one hexosyl moiety. Comparison of the ¹H and ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of **4** and **3** obtained from 2D NMR data (Tables 1 and 2) showed that most signals were superimposable, except for those of ring F in the aglycone of 4. There were significant differences for the chemical shifts at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 112.1, 41.2, 70.0, 39.0, 64.8, and 13.0, respectively, indicating the presence of one additional OH group. In the HMBC spectrum, the signals at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.98 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, Me-27) showed longe-range correlations with carbons at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 70.0 (C-24), 39.0 (C-25), and 64.8 (C-26), respectively. In the COSY spectrum, the Me-27 protons showed a correlation with $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.78 (m, H-25), which exhibited correlations with the pair of protons at C-26, respectively, at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.50 (H-26ax, dd, J = 11.1, 11.6 Hz) and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.58 (H-26eq, dd, J = 4.5 and 11.1 Hz) and with an oxymethine proton at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.98 (H-24, dd, J = 5.0, 5.0 Hz). This proton, in turn, displayed correlations with methylene protons at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.98 (H-23ax) and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.31 (H-23eq). These correlations indicated an OH at C-24 in the F ring, which was confirmed by the deshielded signal of the C-27 methyl group ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 13.0) instead of $\delta_{\rm C}$ 9–9.5 in the case of CH₂-24.¹⁵ The shift values of H₂-26 vicinal to β -axial H-25 and the coupling constant of the dd at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.98 (H-24, dd, J = 5.0, 5.0 Hz) are understandable only if this oxymethine proton is located at C-24 in the β -equatorial configuration and is vicinal to the 25 β -axial and 23-methylene protons. These data revealed the α -axial orientation of the OH at C-24, which was confirmed by the absence of any NOESY correlation between $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.50 (H-26ax) and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.98 (H-24). Therefore the aglycone of **4** was identified as 24α hydroxypennogenin. This aglycone has been reported in only one plant species, Paris axialis,16 but only partial NMR assignments were made. Scrutiny of the 2D NMR (COSY, NOESY, HMBC) data of 4 (Tables 1 and 2) showed that the oligosaccharidic moiety of 4 differed from that of 3 only by the absence of an acetyl group attached at C-6 of Glc. This was confirmed by the disappearance of the methyl signal at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 20.4 and the carbonyl signal at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 170.9 in the ¹³C NMR spectrum of 4 and the presence of a shielded value of Glc C-6 at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 61.6, with proton NMR signals H-6a and H-6b at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.18 (d, J = 5.2 Hz) and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.35 (dd, J = 5.2, 11.4 Hz), respectively. Thus, the structure of 4 was formulated as 24α hydroxypennogenin 3-O- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 2)-[α -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 3)$]- β -D-glucopyranoside.

The cytotoxicity of **2**–**4**, pennogenin 3-*O*- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1→3)- β -D-glucopyranoside (manioside A),³ pennogenin 3-*O*- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1→2)-[α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1→3)]- β -D-glucopyranoside (spiroconazole A),⁴ and neoruscogenin 1-*O*- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1→2)-[β -D-xylopyranosyl-(1→3)]- α -L-arabinopyranoside¹⁰ was evaluated against the HT-29 and HCT 116 human colon cancer cell lines using the MTT assay.¹⁷ The most active compound on both cell lines was spiroconazole A⁴ (penno-

Table 2. ¹³C and ¹H NMR Spectroscopic Data for Sugar Moieties of 14^{a}

	1		2		3		4	
position	$\delta_{\rm C}$	$\delta_{ m H}$	$\delta_{\rm C}$	$\delta_{ m H}$	$\delta_{ m C}$	$\delta_{ m H}$	$\delta_{\rm C}$	$\delta_{ m H}$
1-0-								
Fuc-1			100.5	4.69 d (7.3)				
2			78.1	4.05 d (3.6)				
3			84.4	4.07				
4			73.4	4.59 t (8.9)				
5			69.4	4.39 t (8.5)				
0 Vul 1			1/.1	$1.40 \ 0 \ (0.5)$				
Ay1-1 2			74.0	4.95 u (7.3) 3.80 t (7.0)				
3			78.1	4 07				
4			69.5	4.39				
5			67.0	3.67 d (4.8)				
				4.25 m				
Rha-1			101.7	6.26 br s				
2			72.4	4.75				
3			72.4	4.57 m				
4			73.4	4.24 d (9.3)				
5			69.4	4.75 m				
6			19.0	1.68 d (6.1)				
3-0- Cla 1	101.9	102 + (76)			00.7	172 1 (7 8)	00.2	472 4 (7 9)
GIC-1 2	101.8	4.85 d (7.0)			99.7	4.75 d (7.8)	99.5 79.4	4./3 ((/.8) 2.82 dd (8.8, 7.8)
23	76.0	4.04 t (8.8)			85.7	$4.05 \pm (9.0)$	86.0	4 01 t (8 8)
4	77.8	4.27 t (9.2)			69.6	3.76	69.4	$3.82 \pm (8.8)$
5	76.3	3.41 t (9.0)			78.3	3.90	77.2	3.76 m
6	60.9	4.00 dd (3.5, 11.7)			63.9	4.62 dd (5.2, 11.9)	61.6	4.18 dd (5.2, 12.1)
		4.11 d (11.7)				4.75 d (11.9)		4.35 d (12.1)
CH ₃ -CO					20.4	1.94 br s		
$CH_3-\underline{C}O$					170.9			
Rha-1	102.1	5.74 br s			103.3	5.70 br s	103.0	5.58 br s
2	70.6	5.07 br s			71.9	4.75	71.7	4.66 br s
3	79.2	5.54 dd (2.8, 9.3)			72.1	4.41	71.9	4.67
4	71.8	4.48 d (4.7)			72.9	4. 24	72.8	4.21
5	/0.0	4.92 d (5.1)			18.0	4.00	17.8	4.04 1.56 d (5.0)
Pho 1	10.1	1.58 (u, 0.1)			102.1	$5.63 \text{ br} \circ$	102.1	5.63 br s
2					71.7	2.65 bi s 4.64	71.5	4.61 br s
3					71.9	4.72	71.9	4.71
4					72.9	4.24 t (9.2)	72.9	4.22
5					69.4	4.70 m	69.4	4.68
6					18.1	1.66 d (5.9)	18.1	1.66 d (5.9)
24-0-								
Ara-1			106.3	5.11 d (8.1)				
2			72.7	4.37 t (8.5)				
3			74.5	4.04 d (3.2)				
4			71.5	3.74 d (6.5)				
5			66.9	3.62				
				4.21				

^{*a*} 600 MHz (¹H), 125 MHz (¹³C), pyridine- d_5 , δ (ppm), coupling constants (*J*) in Hz are given in parentheses, overlapped signals are reported without designated multiplicity.

genin 3-*O*- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 2)-[α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 3)]- β -D-glucopyranoside), with IC₅₀ values of 1.67 and 2.04 μ M against HT-29 and HCT 116 cells, respectively. Compounds 2, 3, manioside A, and neoruscogenin 1-*O*- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 2)-[β -D-xylopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 3)]- α -L-arabinopyranoside exhibited moderate to low cytotoxicity on both cell lines, with IC₅₀ values in the range 7.60–70.73 μ M. Arboreasaponin B (4) was considered inactive, with an IC₅₀ > 100 μ M. Comparing the IC₅₀ values of spiroconazole A with its acetylated derivative (3), it was observed that the acetyl group at Glc C-6 in 3 considerably decreased the cytotoxicity against HT-29 cells and had no effect against HCT116 cells.

Experimental Section

General Experimental Procedures. Optical rotations were recorded on an AA-OR automatic polarimeter. 1D and 2D NMR spectra were recorded in pyridine- d_5 using a Varian INOVA-600 [600 MHz (¹H), 125 MHz (¹³C)] NMR spectrometer. Solvent signals were used as internal standard (pyridine- d_5 : $\delta_{\rm H}$ 7.21, $\delta_{\rm C}$ 123.5 ppm), and the coupling constants (*J*) are in Hz. HRESIMS (positive-ion mode) was carried out on a Q-TOF 1-micromass spectrometer and FABMS (negative-ion mode, glycerol matrix) on a Jeol-SX-102 mass spectrometer. GC analysis was carried out on a Thermoquest gas chromatograph. TLC and HPTLC were carried out on precoated silica gel plates $60F_{254}$ (Merck) (CHCl₃-MeOH-H₂O-AcOH, 70:30:5:0.5 and 80:20:2:0.5). Saponins were detected with the Komarowsky reagent. Isolations were carried out using column chromatography (CC) on silica gel 60 (Merck, $70-200 \ \mu$ m), CC on Sephadex LH-20, vacuum-liquid chromatography (VLC) on reversed-phase RP-18 silica gel (Silicycle, $75-200 \ \mu$ m), medium-pressure liquid chromatography (MPLC) on silica gel 60 (Merck, $15-40 \ \mu$ m) (Gilson apparatus),⁸ and flash chromatography on a Combiflash (Serlabo) [silica gel Redisep flash column ($15-40 \ \mu$ m, $3.5 \times 14 \ cm, 40 \ g$)].

Plant Material. Stems of *D. deisteliana* and bark of *D. arborea* were collected in Yaoundé, village of Bangoua, near Bangangté, located in the Ndé Division of the western highlands of Cameroon in April 2007, and were identified by Dr. P. Nana, botanist of the National Herbarium of Cameroon (NHC), Yaoundé, where voucher specimens *D. deisteliana*: N1545 and *D. arborea*: 57326HNC were deposited.

Extraction and Isolation. Dried and finely powdered stems of *D. deisteliana* and bark of *D. arborea* (each 1.0 kg) were macerated with

MeOH (5 L) for 48 h. After evaporation of the solvent under vacuum, dark residues of 9.5 and 90 g, respectively, were obtained. The MeOH extracts were partitioned between n-BuOH and water. The n-BuOHsoluble portions were evaporated to dryness to afford yellowish powders (2.7 and 7.0 g, respectively). The n-BuOH extract (2.5 g) of D. deisteliana was then fractionated by flash chromatography on silica gel using CHCl3-MeOH-H2O (70:30:2) as eluent, affording five fractions: F-1 (82 mg), F-2 (55 mg), F-3 (53 mg), F-4 (55 mg), and F-5 (157 mg). F-2 was purified by successive MPLC on RP-18 silica gel eluted with MeOH–H₂O ($60\% \rightarrow 100\%$), yielding 2 (18 mg). F-5 was purified under the same conditions to yield 1 (5.3 mg) and neoruscogenin 1-O- α -L-arabinopyranoside (10.3 mg),⁹ neoruscogenin 1-*O*-α-L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 2)-[β-D-xylopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 3)]-α-L-arabinopyranoside (7.6 mg),¹⁰ and neoruscogenin 1-O- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 2)- α -L-arabinopyranoside (7.4 mg).¹⁰ Using the same procedure, an aliquot (2 g) of the n-BuOH extract of D. arborea afforded nine fractions. F-5 (71 mg) was a pure compound identified as spiroconazole A by comparison with literature data.⁴ By successive MPLC on RP-18 silica gel, F-2 (21 mg) and F-3 yielded floribundasaponin A (3.2 mg), previously isolated from Dioscorea floribunda,11 namogenin A (3.0 mg) isolated from Dracaena augustifolia,¹² and manioside A (10.6 mg) isolated from Dracaena mannii,3 respectively. F-4 (55.3 mg) and F-6 (44 mg) were purified by repeated MPLC (silica gel, $15-40 \ \mu m$) using a gradient system of solvent, CHCl₃-MeOH-H₂O (80:20:2; 70:30:5), followed by MPLC on RP-18 silica gel eluted with a MeOH-H₂O gradient system to yield 3 (4.1 mg) and 4 (12.2 mg), respectively.

Deistelianoside A (1): white, amorphous powder; $[\alpha]^{21}{}_{D}$ -60.1 (*c* 0.2, MeOH); IR (KBr) $\nu_{max}3385$ (OH), 2925 and 2905 (CH), 1320 (C=C), 1255 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR (pyridine-*d*₅), see Tables 1 and 2; FABMS (negative-ion mode) *m*/*z* 801 [M - H]⁻, 97 [OSO₃H]⁻, 80 [SO₃]⁻; HRESIMS (positive-ion mode) *m*/*z* 825.9560 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for 825.9553).

Deistelianoside B (2): white, amorphous powder; $[\alpha]^{21}_{D} - 55.1$ (*c* 0.15, MeOH); IR (KBr) $\nu_{max}3380$ (OH), 2925 and 2905 (CH), 1405, 1320 (C=C), 1085 (OH), 1030, 965 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR (pyridine- d_5), see Tables 1 and 2; FABMS (negative-ion mode) m/z 1015 [M - H]⁻, 883 [(M - H) - 132]⁻, 737 [(M - H) - 132 - 146]⁻, 591 [(M - H) - 132 - 146 - 146]⁻, 461 [(M - H) - 132 - 146 - 146] - 146] - 146] - 146] - 132]⁻; HRESIMS (positive-ion mode) m/z 1039.4721 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for 1039.4726).

Arboreasaponin A (3): white, amorphous powder; $[\alpha]^{22}{}_{D} - 48.2$ (*c* 0.22, MeOH); IR (KBr) $\nu_{max}3420$ (OH), 2910 (CH), 1320 (C=C), 1740 (C=O), 1255 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR (pyridine- d_5), see Tables 1 and 2; FABMS (negative-ion) m/z 925 [M - H]⁻, 883 [(M - H) - 42]⁻, 737 [(M - H) - 42 - 146]⁻, 429 [(M - H) - 42 - 146 - 146] - 162]⁻; HRESIMS (positive-ion mode) m/z 949.4769 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd 949.4773).

Arboreasaponin B (4): white, amorphous powder; $[α]^{22}{}_D - 59.0$ (*c* 0.22, MeOH); IR (KBr) $ν_{max}$ 3420 (OH), 2910 (CH), 1320 (C=C), 1255 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR (pyridine-*d₃*), see Tables 1 and 2; FABMS (negative-ion) *m/z* 899 [M - H]⁻, 753 [(M - H) - 146]⁻, 445 [(M - H) - 146 - 146 - 162]⁻; HRESIMS (positive-ion mode) *m/z* 923.4621 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd 923.4616).

Acid Hydrolysis and GC Analysis. Each compound (3 mg) was hydrolyzed with 2 N aqueous CF₃COOH (5 mL) for 3 h at 95 °C. After extraction with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 5 mL), the aqueous layer was repeatedly evaporated to dryness with MeOH until neutral and then analyzed by TLC over silica gel (CHCl₃–MeOH–H₂O, 8:5:1) by comparison with authentic samples. Furthermore, the residue of sugars was dissolved in anhydrous pyridine (100 μ L), and L-cysteine methyl ester hydrochloride (0.06 mol/L) was added. The mixture was stirred at 60 °C for 1 h; then 150 μ L of HMDS–TMCS (hexamethyldisilazane– trimethylchlorosilane, 3:1) was added, and the mixture was stirred at 60 °C for another 30 min. The precipitate was centrifuged off, and the supernatant was concentrated under a N₂ stream. The residue was partitioned between *n*-hexane and H₂O (0.1 mL each), and the hexane layer (1 μ L) was analyzed by GC.¹⁸ D-Glucose, D-fucose, D-xylose, L-rhamnose, and L-arabinose were detected by co-injection of the hydrolysate with standard silylated samples. Identification of D-glucose and L-rhamnose was carried out for 1, 3, and 4, giving peaks at 18.30 and 13.00 min for 1, 18.32 and 12.99 min for 3, and 18.32 and 13.02 min for 4, respectively. Identification of D-fucose, D-xylose, tarbinose was carried out, giving peaks at 18.38, 13.50, 13.05, and 11.95 min, respectively, for 2.

MTT Cytotoxicity Assay. The bioassay was carried out according to the method described by Carmichael et al.¹⁷ with two human colon cancer cell lines (HCT 116 and HT-29). Paclitaxel was used as a positive control and exhibited IC₅₀ values of 3.21 and 1.40 *n*M against HCT 116 and HT-29, respectively.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to Dr. V. Tamze and Mr. D. Simo of the Laboratory of Chemistry, IMPM Yaoundé, for providing facilities for plant extraction.

Supporting Information Available: ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra of compounds **1–4** and the results of the cytotoxicity of some compounds (Table 3) are available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References and Notes

- (1) Mimaki, Y.; Kuroda, M.; Takaashi, Y.; Sashida, Y. *Phytochemistry* **1998**, *47*, 1351–1356.
- (2) Noumi, E.; Amvam, Z. P.H.; Lontsi, D. Fitoterapia 1998, 69, 125– 134.
- (3) Tapondjou, L. A.; Ponou, K. B.; Teponno, R. B.; Mbiantcha, M.; Djoukeng, J. D.; Nguelefack, T. B.; Watcho, P.; Cadenas, A. G.; Park, H.-J. Arch. Pharm. Res. 2008, 31, 653–658.
- (4) Okunji, C. O.; Iwu, M. M.; Hostettmann, K. Int. J. Crude Drug Res. 1991, 29, 66–70.
- (5) Moharram, F. A.; El-Shenawy, S. M. Planta Med. 2007, 73, 1101– 1106.
- (6) Himmelreich, U.; Masaoud, M.; Adam, G.; Ripperger, H. Phytochemistry 1995, 39, 949–951.
- (7) González, A. G.; León, F.; Hernández, J. C.; Padrón, J. I.; Sánchez-Pinto, L.; Barrera, J. B. Biochem. Syst. Ecol. 2004, 32, 179–184.
- (8) Kougan, G. B.; Miyamoto, T.; Mirjolet, J. F.; Duchamp, O.; Sondengam, B. L.; Lacaille-Dubois, M.-A. J. Nat. Prod. 2009, 72, 1081– 1086.
- (9) De Combarieu, E.; Falzoni, M.; Fuzzati, N.; Gattesco, F.; Giori, A.; Lovati, M.; Pace, R. *Fitoterapia* **2002**, *73*, 583–596.
- (10) Mimaki, Y.; Takaashi, Y.; Sashida, Y.; Nikaido, T. *Phytochemistry* **1996**, 42, 1609–1615.
- (11) Shashi, B.; Mahato, S. B.; Sahu, N. P; Ganguly, A. N. *Phytochemistry* **1981**, 20, 1943–1946.
- (12) Tran, L. Q.; Tran, K. Q.; Shigetoshi, K. J. Chem. 2004, 42, 122-124.
- (13) Nakano, K.; Murakami, K.; Takaishi, Y.; Tomimatsu, T.; Nohara, T. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1989**, *37*, 116–118.
- (14) Mimaki, Y.; Watanabe, K.; Sakuma, C.; Sakagami, H.; Sashida, Y. *Helv. Chim. Acta* **2003**, *86*, 398–407.
- (15) Agrawal, P. K.; Jain, D. C.; Gupta, R. K.; Thakur, R. S. *Phytochemistry* 1985, 24, 2479–2496.
- (16) Changxiang, C.; Zhou, J. Yunnan Zhiwu Yanjiu 1984, 6, 111-117.
- (17) Carmichael, J.; Degraff, W. G.; Gazdar, A. F.; Minna, J. D.; Mitchell, J. B. Cancer Res. **1987**, 47, 936–942.
- (18) Hara, S.; Okabe, H.; Mihashi, K. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1987, 35, 501–506.

NP100153M